Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 MOSCOW 13780 111225Z

46

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 EB-11 L-03 STR-08 DRC-01 RSC-01 /050 W $\,$

----- 102177

R 111150Z SEP 74

FM AMEMBASSY MOSCOW TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2401 INFO USDOC WASHDC

UNCLAS MOSCOW 13780

USDOC FOR BEWT/PIGGOTT

E.O. 11652: N/A TAGS: BDIS, UR

SUBJECT: TRADE DISPUTE - PIONEER ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING (PEM) VS. STANKOIMPORT

REF: STATE 166532

- 1. COMMERCIAL ATTACHE MET WITH V.I. SILIN AND I.V. VINOGRADOVA OF STANKOIMPORT SEPTEMBER 10 AT COMMERCIAL OFFICE TO DISCUSS PEM CASE REFTEL.)
- 2. REVIEW OF HISTORY OF PEM-STANKOIMPORT RELATIONSHIP REVEALED (NOT UNEXPECTEDLY) THAT STANKOIMPORT'S VERSION OF CASE DIFFERS IN SOME RESPECTS FROM PEM'S VIEW PRESENTED REFTEL. IN PARTICULAR, SILIN REJECTED NOTION THAT STANKOIMPORT HAD EVER ACCEPTED "IN PRINCIPLE" PEM'S CONTENTION THAT COMPANY WAS ENTITLED TO PRICE INCREASES. SILIN ALSO NOTED THAT IN FEBRUARY 1973, STANKOIMPORT HAD ADVISED PEM THAT BY FAILING MEET DELIVERY DATES AND CEASING WORK ON EQUIPMENT, PENDING AGREEMENT ON HIGHER PRICES, PEM HAD BROKEN CONTRACT AND THUS OWED STANKOIMPORT PENALTY OF 10 PERCENT OF FACE VALUE OF CONTRACT. PEM'S EVENTUAL REPLY, ACCORDING TO SILIN, WAS THAT STANKOIMPORT COULD APPLY TO APPROPRIATE US COURTS IF IT WISHED OBTAIN PENALTY, A COURSE OF ACTION WHICH SILIN SAID STANKOIMPORT DID NOT INTEND TO FOLLOW.
- 3. COMMERCIAL ATTACHE ASKED WHETHER BEST COURSE WOULD NOT UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 MOSCOW 13780 111225Z

BE FOR STANKOIMPORT TO RECOGNIZE THAT FACTORS BEYOND PEM'S

CONTROL MADE IT IMPOSSIBLE COMPLETE CONTRACT AT ORIGINALLY-NEGOTIATED PRICE AND AGREE TO NEW PRICE, ASSUMING THAT SOVIET CUSTOMER STILL INTERESTED IN EQUIPMENT. IN THIS CONNECTION, COMMERCIAL ATTACHE STRESSED THAT EQUIPMENT WAS APPROXIMATELY 50 PERCENT COMPLETE.

- 4. SILIN SAID THAT STANKOIMPORT CATEGORICALLY RULED OUT ANY RENEGOTIATION OF PRICE AND SAID STANKOIMPORT REGARDED PEM AS UNRELIABLE FIRM WHICH HAD ALREADY FAILED TO LIVE UP TO ONE CONTRACT. SILIN AVOIDED RESPONDING TO QUESTION AS TO WHETHER CUSTOMER (GORKIY TRUCK PLANT-GAZ) WAS STILL INTERESTED IN PEM EQUIPMENT. SILIN SAID STANKOIMPORT REGARDS ITS CONTRACT WITH PEM AS STILL IN FORCE ALTHOUGH IT REALIZES THAT EQUIPMENT WILL NEVER BE DELIVERED UNDER EXISTING TERMS. FOR THIS REASON, STANKOIMPORT HAD INFORMED PEM'S MOSCOW REP (SATRA) THAT STANKOIMPORT WISHED FORMALLY TO CANCEL CONTRACT.
- 5. COMMENT: ON BASIS SILIN'S STATEMENTS, THERE WOULD APPEAR TO BE NO PROSPECT THAT STANKOIMPORT WILL AGREE TO HIGHER PRICE FOR PEM EQUIPMENT. ALTHOUGH IT IS POSSIBLE THAT GOR'KIY PLANT WOULD STILL WISH TO OBTAIN EQUIPMENT, SILIN DID NOT INDICATE

THAT THIS WAS THE CASE.

6. SILIN TOLD COMMERCIAL ATTACHE THAT STANKOIMPORT REGRETTED DISPUTE WITH PEM AND HOPED THAT THIS WOULD NOT IMPAIR GOOD RELATIONS WHICH HAVE DEVELOPED BETWEEN USDOC AND U.S. MACHINE TOOL INDUSTRY, ON ONE SIDE, AND STANKOIMPORT ON THE OTHER. AS SIGN OF STANKOIMPORT'S GOOD INTENTIONS, SILIN GAVE COMMERCIAL ATTACHE COPIES OF FIVE BID REQUESTS FOR EQUIPMENT WHICH STANKOIMPORT INTERESTED PURCHASING FROM U.S. SUPPLIERS. THESE BID REQUESTS WILL BE TRANSMITTED THROUGH NORMAL COMMERCIAL OPPORTUNITY CHANNEL. DUBS

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 11 SEP 1974 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: n/a Disposition Approved on Date: Disposition Authority: n/a Disposition Case Number: n/a

Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:

Document Number: 1974MOSCOW13780 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A

Film Number: D740253-0292

From: MOSCOW

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740956/aaaabvgc.tel Line Count: 95

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM Office: ACTION EUR Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 2

Previous Channel Indicators: Previous Classification: n/a Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: STATE 166532 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: martinjw

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 22 MAR 2002

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <22 MAR 2002 by maustmc>; APPROVED <29 JAN 2003 by martinjw>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: TRADE DISPUTE - PIONEER ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING (PEM) VS. STANKOIMPORT

TAGS: BDIS, UR To: STATE

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005